



2006 SAFETY STAND DOWN DETAILED REPORT

2006 Safety Stand Down

- **2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies**
- **2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey Results**
- **2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey Results**

Table of Contents

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	1
Listed by Association – CAGC	1
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	2
Listed by Association – CAODC	2
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	3
Listed by Association – CAPP	3
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	3
Listed by Association – CEPA	3
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	3
Listed by Association – OTHER	3
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	4
Listed by Association – PSAC	4
2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies	4
Listed by Association – SEPAC	4
2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey Results	5
Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey	6
9. Is there anything you would do differently on future visits to the field?	6
CAGC	6
CAODC	6
CAPP	6
Other	7
PSAC	7
SEPAC	8
Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey	8
11. General comments/feedback is welcomed.	8
CAGC	8
CAODC	8

CAPP	9
Other	9
PSAC	9
SEPAC	10
2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey	11
Number of Responses for Each Association per Role	12
Overall Average Perception Survey Scores for All Associations	12
Average & Overall Average for Each Question per Role for All Associations	13
Overall Average for Canadian Association of Geophysical Contractors (CAGC)	14
CAGC Responses per Role	14
Average for CAGC for Each Position	15
Average for CAGC for Each Question	15
Weighted Average for CAGC for Each Question	16
CAGC Overall Average of Each Question per Role	16
Overall Average for Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors (CAODC) ...	17
CAODC Responses per Role	17
Average for CAODC for Each Position	18
Average for CAODC for Each Question	18
Weighted Average for CAODC for Each Question	19
CAODC Overall Average of Each Question per Role	19
Overall Average for Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)	20
CAPP Responses per Role	20
Average for CAPP for Each Position	21
Average for CAPP for Each Question	21
Weighted Average for CAPP for Each Question	22
CAPP Overall Average of Each Question per Role	22
Overall Average for OTHER	23
OTHER Responses per Role	23
Average for OTHER for Each Position	24

Average for OTHER for Each Question	24
Weighted Average for OTHER for Each Question	25
OTHER Overall Average of Each Question per Role	25
Overall Average for Petroleum Services Association of Canada (PSAC)	26
PSAC Responses per Role	26
Average for PSAC for Each Position	27
Average for PSAC for Each Question	27
Weighted Average for PSAC for Each Question	28
PSAC Overall Average of Each Question per Role	28
Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey	29
Association – Canadian Association of Geophysical Contractors (CAGC)	29
Association – Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors (CAODC)	30
Association – Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)	31
Association – (OTHER)	32
Association – Petroleum Services Association of Canada (PSAC)	33
Detailed Perception Survey Comments	34

Listed by Association – CAGC

n=67

3-D Line Locating Ltd.	Impact 2000 Inc.
Ace Explosives ETI Ltd.	Kinetex Incorporated
Active Environmental Services Ltd.	Kozun Exploration Services Ltd.
Advanced Locating Services Inc.	Mercedes Surveys
Aegis Group Inc.	Norex Exploration Services Inc.
Aguila Exploration Consultants Ltd.	OutSource Seismic Consultants Inc.
Arcis Corporation	Paladin Positioning Inc.
Atlantic Geophysical Services	Park Ambulance Services Ltd.
Aurora Peace Contractor Ltd.	Peace River Hole Cementing & Exploration Services Ltd.
Austin Powder Ltd.	PGS Onshore (Canada) Inc.
Bear Slashing Ltd.	Philip Surveys Ltd.
Bertram Drilling Corp.	Polaris Explorer Ltd.
Bighorn Land	Red-Alta Utility Location Ltd.
Boyd PetroSearch	Remote Medical Services
C E Webster Seismic Surveys Ltd.	River Valley Contracting
Canadian Association of Geophysical Contractors	Seisland Surveys Ltd.
Command Equipment Ltd.	Seismed Medical Services Inc.
Complete Land Services Ltd.	Seismic Mapping Inc.
Conquest Seismic Services Ltd.	Site Safety Inc.
D.W. Jensen Drilling Ltd	Solid State Geophysical Inc.
Data Trek Ltd.	Sourcex Geophysical
Datum Exploration Ltd.	SPETH Drilling
Deer River Ventures Ltd.	Synterra Technologies Ltd.
Destiny Resource Services Partnership	Tampit Inc.
Divestco Inc.	Tawow Resources Inc.
Double H Seismic 1995 Ltd.	Terra-Sine Resources Ltd.
Eagle Canada Inc.	Tesla Exploration Ltd.
ESS/On-Site Camp Services	Thompson & Associates Exploration Services Inc.
G. Richard Drilling Ltd.	Trace Energy Services Ltd.
GEM Resource Surveys	Val's Drilling Ltd.
GeoStrata Resources Inc.	Veritas DGC Inc.
GeoTir Inc.	Vidar Forest Technologies Ltd.
Hartico Seismic (1989) Inc.	WesternGeco
HCL National Inc.	

Listed by Association – CAODC

n=49

<p> Akita Drilling Ltd. Ammonite Drilling Ltd. Big Sky Drilling/Ensign Brinkerhoff Drilling (2000) Inc. Central Alberta Well Services Corp. Chinook Drilling Classic Well Servicing Ltd. Concord Well Servicing Cora Lynn Drilling LP Diamond Energy Services Inc. Drillers Technology Corp. Eagle Well Servicing Corp Ensign Champion Drilling Ensign Drilling Excalibur Drilling Ltd. Grizzly Well Servicing Inc. Hi-West Well Servicing (Lloydminster) Ltd. Horizon Drilling Jomax Drilling (1988) Ltd. Katch Kan Ltd. Kinnell Drilling Ltd. Lockwell Servicing Ltd. Lory Oilfield Rentals Inc. Millard Oilfield Services Partnership Nabors Drilling (Division of Nabors Canada) </p>	<p> Nabors Production Services Pantera Drilling Inc. Peak Energy Services Phelps Drilling Co. Precision Drilling Precision Drilling, a division of Precision Drilling Ltd. Precision Well Servicing Pro-Line Energy Services Inc. Quintera Drilling Rockwell Servicing Shadow Rathole Drilling Ltd. Stoneham Drilling Inc. Storm Service Rigs Inc. Terracore Specialty Drilling Ltd. Terroco Drilling Ltd. The Crossing Company Treeline Well Services Inc. Tri-City Drilling Inc. Trinidad Drilling Ltd. Trinidad Well Servicing Weatherford Corod Product and Services Weatherford/Precision Energy Services Wellco Energy Services Partnership Western Lakota Energy Services Inc. </p>
---	---

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies		269
Listed by Association – CAPP		n=39
Apache Canada Ltd. ARC Resources Ltd. ATCO Midstream Ltd. BG Canada Exploration & Production Inc. Bonavista Petroleum Ltd. Bow Valley Energy Ltd. BP Canada Burlington Resources Canada Canadian Natural Resources Limited Chevron Canada Resources Compton Petroleum ConocoPhillips Canada Cyries Energy Inc. Devon Canada Dominion Exploration Canada Ltd. Duvernay Oil Corp. EnCana Corporation Enerplus Resources Esprit Energy Group Hunt Oil Company of Canada Inc.	Imperial Oil Resources Keyera Energy Mancal Energy Inc. McElhanney Land Surveys Ltd. MGV Energy Inc. Murphy Oil Company Ltd. NAL Resources Nexen Canada Ltd. Northrock Resources Ltd. Penn West Energy Trust Petro-Canada PrimeWest Energy Inc. Progress Energy SemCAMS Sequoia Oil & Gas Trust Ltd. Shell Canada Limited Suncor Energy Inc. Talisman Energy Inc. Vermillion Energy Trust	

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies		269
Listed by Association – CEPA		n=3
Alliance Pipeline Limited SaskEnergy/TransGas TransCanada PipeLines Limited		

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies		269
Listed by Association – OTHER		n=46
A.C. Oilfield Absolute Safety Management Inc. Alberta Energy & Utilities Board Allard Oilfield Safety Inc. ATCO Frontec Atehkumek Maintenance Limited ATSafety Training Canadian Petroleum Interpretive Centre Chance Oilfield Maintenance Ltd. Containment Solutions - Div. of 661300 Alberta Ltd. Core Energy Solutions Inc. D&R Directional Services Inc. DDA Northern Safety Services Ltd. Diastole Industrial First Aid Energetic Services Inc. Enform EpSCAN Ind. Ltd. Ferus Transportation Inc. Forge Dynamics Inc. Goacher Oilfield Consulting Ltd. Hellbound Services Corp. K. Kenn Industries K2 Consulting	LOK Energy Services Mateo Oilfield Services Ltd. Nighthawk Power Tongs 2001 Inc. Nowmco Parma Ventures Inc. PCL Industrial Constructors Inc. Prairie Rental & Oilfield Services Ltd. Recon PetroTechnologies Ltd. Reliance Industries Ltd. Ruud Enterprises Ltd. Safety Man Canada Ltd. Safety Management 2005 Inc. Stanchuck Trucking (1997) Ltd. Streeper Contracting Ltd. Suntec Pure Water Technolgies Inc. Swab-Co Inc./Swab-Flo Inc. T&T Tank Tasnee Pertochemicals Top Gun Oilfield Services Ltd. Tundra Oil and Gas Ltd. Tylace Oilfield Services Universal Industries Varsteel Ltd.	

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies		269
Listed by Association – PSAC		n=64
Abandonrite	LRG Catering	
Baker Hughes Canada Company	Magnum Perforating Services Inc.	
Baker Hughes Centrillift	MasterFlo Valve Inc.	
Baker Oil Tools	Mullen Group Inc.	
BJ Services Company Canada	Mullen Oilfield Services LP	
Brandt NOV	Newalta Corporation	
Brazeau Well Servicing	Newpark Drilling Fluids	
Calfrac Well Service Ltd.	NQL Energy Services	
CE Franklin Ltd.	NWP Trucking Corp.	
Central Production Testing Ltd.	Opsco Energy Industries Ltd.	
Columbia Oilfield Supply Ltd.	Pajak Engineering Ltd.	
DC Energy Services Inc.	POLARIS Petroleum Ltd.	
Doig River Energy Limited	Precision Rentals Ltd.	
Doran Stewart Oilfield Services (1990) Ltd.	Pure Energy Services Partnership	
E-Can Oilfield Services L.P.	Q'Max Solutions Inc.	
Enhanced Drill Systems	Rostel Industries	
ERC Industries	Ryan Energy Technologies	
FI Oilfield Services Canada Ltd.	Sanjel Corporation	
Firemaster Oilfield Services Inc.	Savanna Energy Services Corp.	
Flint Energy Services Ltd.	Schlumberger Canada Limited	
FSJ L.A.N.D. Transport LP	Snubco Pressure Control Ltd.	
Grant Production Testing Services	SSI Special Services (Op. Division) Inc.	
Halliburton Canada	Strata Energy Services Inc.	
Hallmark Tubulars	Stream-Flo Industries Ltd.	
Hughes Christensen	Strike Energy Services Inc.	
Independent Wireline Inc.	Tarpon Energy Services Ltd.	
Integrated Production Services Ltd.	Tier One Oil Services	
Kamber Well Service Ltd.	Topco Oilsite Products Ltd.	
Kos Corp Oilfield Transportation Ltd.	Total Production Services Inc.	
Live Well Service Ltd.	Trican Well Service Ltd.	
Lonkar Services Ltd.	Tucker Wireline Services, Canada Inc.	
Lonkar Well Testing Ltd.	United Safety Ltd.	

2006 Safety Stand Down Registered Companies		269
Listed by Association – SEPAC		n=1
Cheyenne Industries Inc.		

2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey Results							45% 122/269									
1. We did not actively participate in the 2006 Safety Stand Down Week but would like to stay informed on future plans.								2								
2. a. Have you participated in prior Safety Stand Down initiatives?							Yes = 96		No = 26							
b. Do you hold your own company Stand Downs outside of the focus week?							Yes = 85		No = 37							
3. Indicate the number of people from various levels of responsibility who participated in the 2006 Safety Stand Down Week initiative.																
#CEOs	111		#VPs	524		#Managers	826									
4. Indicate the number of frontline workers your group was able to visit with during the 2006 Safety Stand Down Week initiative.																
#FLWs								22,180								
5. Indicate the number and type of sites that were visited during the 2006 Safety Stand Down Week initiative where safety discussions took place.																
#Wellsites	743		#Gas plants	66		#Field	371		#Offices	261		#Other	104			
6. Indicate how many man days your company provided to the 2006 Safety Stand Down Week initiative by your Senior Executive and Management group. (A visit of any length in one day = one man-day) (multiple visits in one day + one man-day)																
#Man days								2,087								
7. Does your Senior Executive team conduct regular field visits on an ongoing basis?																
Yes Responses				108			No Responses			14						
8. Generally, how was your initiative to visit the field perceived by your workforce?																
Extremely Well		54		Well Received		68		Not Well Received		N/A		N/A		N/A		
10. Would you and your company support the same initiative for 2007?																
Yes Responses			121			No Responses			N/A			N/A			1	

Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey

9. Is there anything you would do differently on future visits to the field?

CAGC

- Field visits have not been documented in the past although as a manager I also work in the field and have several site visits.
- I would like to see our clients have a presence and not just the contractors and subcontractors. A higher level of client participation would show the front line workers it's more than our own company initiative.
- It would be very beneficial if more time could be allotted for this event. However, this is difficult to balance with the everyday demands of the corporate office.
- Visit more sites
- As our in house technology increases, I would like to have more video presentations in the field.
- Our visits consisted mainly of presentations which were well received. However, next year I think we should conduct actual field visits and observe job tasks being carried out. I do this on a regular basis many times throughout the year but most senior executives don't. This would add even more value to an already excellent initiative.
- Send the forms out earlier, so that the field staff has more time to answer the survey, or at least be aware of it ahead of time, so as to ask the proper questions, if any.
- Notify employees more in advance so they had time to sort their comments and concerns and take more time to visit more sites.
- Would like to spend more individual time with employees
- I work in the field everyday. This is a small company.

CAODC

- Field visits have not been documented in the past although as a manager I also work in the field and have several site visits.
- I would like to see our clients have a presence and not just the contractors and subcontractors. A higher level of client participation would show the front line workers it's more than our own company initiative.
- It would be very beneficial if more time could be allotted for this event. However, this is difficult to balance with the everyday demands of the corporate office.
- Visit more sites
- As our in house technology increases, I would like to have more video presentations in the field.
- Our visits consisted mainly of presentations which were well received. However, next year I think we should conduct actual field visits and observe job tasks being carried out. I do this on a regular basis many times throughout the year but most senior executives don't. This would add even more value to an already excellent initiative.
- Send the forms out earlier, so that the field staff has more time to answer the survey, or at least be aware of it ahead of time, so as to ask the proper questions, if any.
- Notify employees more in advance so they had time to sort their comments and concerns and take more time to visit more sites.
- Would like to spend more individual time with employees
- I work in the field everyday. This is a small company.
- Not really, we saw every employee we have which is about 50. It was tough to catch them on location but the town hall style meeting worked well.
- Not at this point
- It went very well.
- No
- Ensure that managers do not go as a group. Should be one senior manager per worksite.
- Prepare better in advance to get the top management out, have a common message. All management to bring back notes on feedback items from the crews. Review these items in a debriefing after the Stand Down. Act on items where possible.
- No. Each member of the management team was provided with a minimized standard agenda for the visits to facilitate consistency amongst the crew discussions. It was intended and encouraged for the discussions to then be focused on issues raised by crew members.

CAPP

- At ARC we visited drilling and service rigs contracted to us. As well we met with several field operations staff to emphasize the important role of us play towards a safe work environment.
- We would conduct the Safety Stand Downs in October before the fall ramp up in work activity.
- More informal discussions
- We will be breaking into smaller groups and plan to spread the event over the entire week.

- I would try to coordinate visits to our other operating fields, even if they were outside the Safety Stand Down Week.
- Ensure every business unit is visited.
- Feedback from our employees and contractors was very positive to the town hall meeting style of stand downs. In 2006, we consider focusing more on field activities but the response from our stakeholders was due the town halls plus field visits.
- No, the informal visits and discussions were extremely well received. Safety was the primary focus but staff also brought up other issues with Senior Executives and Managers.

Other

- More interactive activities such as a safety game
- On certain sites I would have liked to pre-book next year. This would ensure proper people would be on that site on the day you were visiting.
- It went well.
- No, my past experience getting involved in the Stand down week has made us well prepared to continue to take part and make it as effective as possible.
- With our company it is harder to get a lot of the employees together on the job. Because we are a fluid hauling company, trucks are all over. Yet we did manage to get some of them on the job and at safety meetings.
- Improve scheduling so that executives could become more available for field visits.
- Visit multiple sites over a longer period.
- Perhaps pre book visits to rigs to enable more time with workers.
- For Safety Stand Down Week I would have liked to have more notice to set up special occasions for entire group, somewhat like (Company) and (Company) did. I had recently started full time with (Company) and did not have enough time to set up something special. Next year I look forward to being more involved well ahead of time.
- I find if you have regular meetings and toolbox meetings the guys, find that you have an interest in the company they also feel that you will be back, out in the field checking everything over, so it seems they take better care of things and pay more attention instead of feeling that you're a number not a person. They respect that so I find the overall job performance is way better. Also they seem to have more confidence. More visits more communications.
- Post comment boxes early and collect them before going out to each location. That way the comments can be read and an answer can be prepared for the employee.

PSAC

- We made it to our company field office, but not customer's location in field.
- Have more time with each group
- Try to do a better job informing oil companies and their consultants in advance of the visits to gain their support and assistance.
- Bring and give reference material to workers (Safety Stand Down Week material).
- We would like to conduct field visits together with our clients next year.
- This Safety Stand Down was conducted worldwide and the Canadian component was conducted in November 2005, with Stand Down results, surveys and action plans completed in January 2006. This was an ongoing event during the official Stand Down. Action plans are being finalized by the executive group and implementations of the plans will be done and monitored over the 2006 year.
- Yes, have this the last week of the year, not in January.
- Would have had a more formal discussion with the International Offices as to the purpose, etc. This was done as a summary at a meeting but would like to put more tools in the overseas offices hands. Better tie into their safety systems and Stand Downs.
- There is always room for improvement. In our case, we would like to focus on having more managers/VPs spend more time in the field during this week.
- I would extend the time frame from one week to a period of one month. It is a very busy time of year and by extending the time frame would allow more time for people to get out and see more locations and people. Also booking of hotel rooms has become a real challenge when travelling through Alberta and BC.
- Arrange for better timing to gain better attendance.
- We are a city based company. Management visits our shop facility on a regular basis.
- We will provide more information to the field workers regarding what Safety Stand Down Week is all about. Our format for the meeting improves every year.
- We would do the presentation at the monthly safety meeting and then follow-up with a visit to the field jobsite the same day to see first hand what our people do.
- Involve more of the executive team and have a broader company exposure to this initiative.
- Better preparation and include the CEO and other division VPs.
- Get more outside involvement from suppliers, customers, or emergency services (first aid, fire, health).

- Would like to initiate monthly visits rather than yearly.

SEPAC

- Try to talk to even more of the workers.

Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Online Survey

11. General comments/feedback is welcomed.

CAGC

- Any time spent on communicating safety values is worthwhile.
- (Company) has been purchased by (Company). We do not know at this time the structure of the new company or its impact on future Safety Stand Down Weeks.
- Pink slip concept was good to try and get a two way flow of information. We haven't had the boxes returned to date as we wanted the workers to have the rest of the week for completing the slips.
- Very fruitful and beneficial to the company as far as its long term relationship with its workers and contractors.
- We are generally a small company and so everyone knows everyone already, but the employees were appreciative of our efforts to visit everyone and personally ask if they had any issues.
- This is a great program and our company supports it one hundred percent. Even though we all make the effort to get out to the field on a regular basis, to have this time booked on our calendars is a good thing.
- Albert Einstein said "Setting an example is not the main means of influencing another, it is the only means." Safety Stand Down Week is setting an excellent example!
- Being a seismic consulting firm, the employees that filled out the questionnaires are not really our employees; they in turn work for a sub-contractor which we have hired to perform a task for us, so some of their comments are directed at their company. Major issue: question # 6 too many phone calls while driving.
- We don't have office personnel. The nine people mentioned are the total workforce and I am with them everyday.
- I am a new company, and an employer so small that I have to be in the field at all times as part of regular operations. I think there should be more effort made to get the very small employers, consultants and "one-crew" companies who feel that this week does not apply to them. Some will say that as frontline owner/ supervisors, they already are involved firsthand in safety operations more so than the larger corporations. However, safety initiatives are as important to the very small employer as they are to the larger ones, and the best way to implement safety is to have these initiatives grow with a new company, and not have to be implemented down the road. I guess I am suggesting that there should be a category for small owners who are already full time at the field level, whether they have one additional employee or ten. That way, there is no small contractor who can say, "This doesn't apply to me" when it comes to considering participation in Safety Stand Down Week.
- Safety Stand Downs for (Company) entailed attendance at the morning meeting of all sites as well as the completion of a safety audit.

CAODC

- A supportive client was very meaningful and delivered a strong impact.
- Really good marketing plan "give your boss a pink slip". The guys responded well to it and we are continuing with the suggestion box on an on going basis.
- Safety Stand Down Week provides Senior Mgmt. a practical yearly data-base regarding the holistic continuous improvement factor.
- We followed up by distributing a memo summarizing the SSDW activities and crew feedback. We have also followed through on practical recommendations for improvement thus demonstrating our commitment to Safety Stand Down Week.
- Great idea, but should be better informed for longer periods of time. Should have Safety Stand Down at least quarterly. That would show the frontline workers we are more serious about their safety than just for one week in January.
- The Perception Surveys indicate the company and industry is getting better at promoting and working within the safety management system.
- Safety Stand Down Week is a good initiative. Perhaps think about running more than once a year. Takes the focus back to the field.
- This is an appropriate time of year to emphasize the importance of managing safety (after the 'Christmas break' and going into the busy winter season). It is also an excellent way to personally

inform the workers that management is concerned for their safety and does monitor activities to support a safe working environment.

- Use of the pink slips was minimal, and may have been due to not enough information provided to workers in advance, or not providing the pink slips early enough to workers.

CAPP

- Extremely well received by all
- Not sure we'll always be able to actively participate every year
- We achieve the best experience when the workforce is engaged in a two way conversation rather than just a presentation.
- (Company) supports Safety Stand Down Week. Our management team on a regular basis visits work sites as part of our commitment to safety.
- This initiative has always been well received by our employees and contractors. It has illustrated that the visits are of value and has resulted with more visits to the field by Managers of all levels.
- Give the boss a pink slip theme was not well received and therefore was not utilized. We focused on the message of the value of communicating and understanding hazards. Use your health and safety management systems to communicate with your management, it works. For 2007, communicate focused initiatives that are being worked on by industry as a group (i.e., transportation safety, fatigue management... No gimmicks with respect to question three, the numbers only reflect the management from (Company) who were active participants. The 835 number in response to question number four does include management from our contractors who participated.
- Our plan is to do similar visits throughout the year. The staff was extremely happy to see the Senior Execs and Managers and eager to discuss safety issues as well as successes.
- Well received by workforce. It is part of our culture to conduct management site visits throughout the year. It has to be more than a once-per-year effort.

Other

- Office staff are less interested and don't see serious issues within their work environment.
- While we have not participated in the exact week outlined in the program, we have scheduled visits by the SLT to various sites throughout the year. This is a new initiative for (Company). However we are committed to it. We just can't guarantee we can complete it during the designated week. Is there a way we can update our progress? Please let me know.
- Safety Stand Down Week was well received by all of our employees. Pink slips were handed in and a discussion was held at the monthly meeting. Corrective Actions were initiated promptly. Employees look forward to next year.
- We are a small company (<10 people) and continue to make Safety our number 1 priority both in the office and at the remote wellsites.
- This year we included the Nisku students in the Safety Stand Down event. Overall, it was well received.
- Safety Stand Down is a excellent program to communicate safety commitment of companies to employees. We will most certainly participate in future events.
- This is a one man Consulting Company and safety/hazard meetings are held daily and at the start of all potentially hazardous procedures. Stand Downs will be held on a monthly basis starting in 2006.
- Very good program, I am very glad to participate and be involved.
- For the first time being involved, our crew did very well. Pink slips were submitted and hazards discussed and controlled.
- Unfortunately during the Stand Down week on the days the CEO was available there were no field jobs within range and on the days there were he was not available... however there are plans for a more extensive tour by him later this year.
- Looking forward to participating and including companies that did not participate this year. The more the merrier!!!!!! It would be nice to have new companies in my area work toward putting together a safety gathering.

PSAC

- We have participated for 3 years now it is a good practice. Employee and managers both enjoy it. It is a good time to look at last year and discuss the coming year and how it relates to safety.
- Once again the Safety Stand Down Week was well received by all workers.
- There is already too much paperwork for the guys so we need to keep it to a minimum. Oil companies should shut down the operation and pay the workers to participate because this is a benefit to their operations.
- Generally, we receive good feedback from our field locations regarding the day to day issues they face during Safety Stand Down Week. We would like to see different posters for the next year. We were not wild about most of the poster designs. Our Senior Leadership team is committed to visiting each branch at least once per year to discuss safety.
- This program has helped bring management and field workers together.

- Excellent program
- I was disappointed at how few of the pink slips were returned. We passed out over 250 and received fewer than 10 back. Last year we gave out approximately 80 surveys and got back 29. This year we passed out 100 surveys and got back 15.
- Have this the last week of the year, not in January.
- Parent Company (Company) assisted us with incorporating any field personnel with their field tours. Nine locations across Canada, (Company) was involved in two.
- Great initiative - sometimes difficult to make time during the busy season, but helps keep everyone focused with the reminder of safety during such.
- The visits by senior management and VP's were appreciated by the people in the field. They get a better understanding of the direction of the company and feel that they are a significant part of the operation. Their feedback is always upfront and to the point, which helps us grow as a company.
- Great vehicle for safety representatives to get senior management out into the workplace. Keep up this week: we incorporate it into our safety plan every year.
- Our theme of "back to basic" for this year has been a very practical one as most of the injuries are from lack of awareness or complacency.
- We are a new company in the market; we were late getting our events planned this year. Next year we'll plan our events much earlier and be better prepared.
- Great to get out into the field and see the work our guys are doing
- 23 percent of employees completed the perception survey. Survey was well received.
- For the first year participating in Safety Stand Down I feel the experiences have been beneficial to management and the employees. We have collected many good suggestions and intend on following up with them.

SEPAC

- "Give the boss a pink slip" was a very clever idea, which was well received.

2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

The purpose of this survey is to evaluate the status of the health and safety management system and generate improvement plans from survey findings. Responses will also measure the perceived strength and the relative gaps in perceptions between employees and management/supervisory staff.

This survey was developed by the volunteer efforts of members of the PSAC HS&E Committee and Dennis Ryan of Compass Health & Safety Ltd. It will be used to solicit the perceptions of safety in the Canadian upstream petroleum industry.

You are encouraged to use this survey in your organization. You may add questions to this survey but we ask that you **do not delete questions or change the question numbers**. If adding, they should be added at the end of the survey. All survey results are kept confidential, and only anonymous industry-wide results will be reported.

Company Name: _____

Industry Association (choose one)	Survey Job Function (choose one)
<input type="checkbox"/> CAGC	<input type="checkbox"/> Frontline Worker
<input type="checkbox"/> CAODC	<input type="checkbox"/> Frontline Supervisor
<input type="checkbox"/> CAPP	<input type="checkbox"/> Service Coordinator/Dispatcher
<input type="checkbox"/> CEPA	<input type="checkbox"/> Support Function (office, engineering etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know	<input type="checkbox"/> Manager
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Executive
<input type="checkbox"/> PSAC	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> SEPAC	


NOTE: Your participation in this survey and response to each question is critical to the survey effectiveness and the outcomes. Your specific written comments will be very helpful in validating the numerical responses.

Please answer each of the **13 questions** by checking the box that represents your opinion.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree

- | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | In my company, the training needed to work safely is provided to all employees. | | | | |
| 2 | Employees in my company are competent to do their work safely. | | | | |
| 3 | Safety in this company is better today than it was a year ago. | | | | |
| 4 | Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted. | | | | |
| 5 | Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely. | | | | |
| 6 | Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving. | | | | |
| 7 | I drive within the speed limit and according to road conditions. | | | | |
| 8 | Employees in my company feel comfortable exercising their right to refuse unsafe work. | | | | |
| 9 | My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues. | | | | |
| 10 | Employees get a positive reception from the company when near miss incidents and/or hazards are reported. | | | | |
| 11 | Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis. | | | | |
| 12 | Employees in my company are properly trained in the principles and use of fall protection if necessary. | | | | |
| 13 | I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months. | | | | |


Number of Responses for Each Association per Role									
# of Companies per Association	Roles	FLW	FLS	Service Coord/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Total Responses for Each Association All Roles
	Association:								
N=18	CAGC	182	21	1	9	7	4	48	272
18	N=272								
N=17	CAODC	411	77	4	7	22	3	125	649
17	N=649								
N=9	CAPP	110	33	8	43	14	22	50	280
9	N=280								
N=0	CEPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	N=0								
N=13	OTHER	59	20	4	52	12	9	38	194
13	N=194								
N=17	PSAC	376	115	27	191	52	12	234	1,007
17	N=1,007								
N=0	SEPAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	N=0								
Total Responses for All Associations per Role									
Total # of Companies for All Associations	Roles:	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator /Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Total
		1,138	266	44	302	107	50	495	2,402
74	Total Responses for All Associations for All Roles								2,402




Average & Overall Average for Each Question per Role for All Associations									
CAGC CAODC CAPP CEPA – N/A OTHER PSAC SEPAC – N/A	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question	
Note: The 3 highest scoring overall averages are marked in black and the 3 lowest scoring overall averages are marked in white.								High	Low
Q1	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.3	
Q2	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.2	
Q3	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.2	
Q4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.4	4.6	
Q5	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.6	3.5	
Q6	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.6	
Q7	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Q8	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.1	
Q9	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.6	
Q10	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.8	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.2	
Q11	3.8	3.7	2.9	3.7	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.7	
Q12	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9	
Q13	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	

The 3 highest scoring overall averages are marked in black.					
1=Strongly Disagree	2=Disagree	3=Not Sure or Neutral	4=Agree	5=Strongly Agree	Overall Average
Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.				4.6
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.				4.6
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.				4.5
The 3 lowest scoring overall averages are marked in white.					
1=Strongly Disagree	2=Disagree	3=Not Sure or Neutral	4=Agree	5=Strongly Agree	Overall Average
Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.				3.5
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.				2.6
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.				3.7


Overall Average for Canadian Association of Geophysical Contractors (CAGC)




CAGC Responses per Role



Average for CAGC for Each Position



Average for CAGC for Each Question




The 3 *highest* scoring questions are marked in black.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.	4.4
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.	4.4
Q10	Employees get a positive reception from the company when near miss incidents and/or hazards are reported.	4.2

The 3 *lowest* scoring questions are marked in white.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.	3.0
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.	2.7
Q12	Employees in my company are properly trained in the principles and use of fall protection if necessary.	3.4


Weighted Average for CAGC for Each Question




CAGC Overall Average of Each Question per Role

Association: CAGC	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question
Q1	4.2	4.3	3.0	3.6	4.3	4.8	4.2	4.1
Q2	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.1
Q3	4.0	4.4	3.0	3.3	4.3	4.7	4.0	4.0
Q4	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.0	4.4	5.0	4.2	4.4
Q5	3.5	3.1	3.0	2.5	2.8	2.5	3.7	3.0
Q6	3.2	2.9	3.0	1.4	2.2	2.3	3.7	2.7
Q7	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.5	4.6	3.8	4.0	4.1
Q8	4.1	4.1	2.0	3.4	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.8
Q9	4.5	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.4	5.0	4.6	4.4
Q10	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2
Q11	3.7	3.5	2.0	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.5
Q12	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.1	3.6	2.7	3.5	3.4
Q13	4.3	4.8	2.0	4.9	4.6	3.2	4.5	4.0


Overall Average for Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors (CAODC)




CAODC Responses per Role



Average for CAODC for Each Position



Average for CAODC for Each Question




The 3 highest scoring questions are marked in black.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.	4.6
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.	4.6
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.	4.5

The 3 lowest scoring questions are marked in white.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.	3.4
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.	3.1
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.	4.1


Weighted Average for CAODC for Each Question




CAODC Overall Average of Each Question per Role

Association: CAODC	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question
Q1	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Q2	4.1	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	5.0	4.3	4.2
Q3	4.0	4.4	3.8	4.6	4.1	5.0	4.3	4.3
Q4	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.6	5.0	4.6	4.6
Q5	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	2.8	3.7	3.4
Q6	2.9	2.5	3.3	3.3	2.5	4.3	3.0	3.1
Q7	4.1	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.8	4.8	4.1	4.1
Q8	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.2	5.0	4.2	4.3
Q9	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.6	4.6
Q10	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.4	5.0	4.2	4.3
Q11	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9	4.1	5.0	4.1	4.1
Q12	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.3	4.4
Q13	4.5	4.7	4.7	3.8	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5


Overall Average for Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)




CAPP Responses per Role



Average for CAPP for Each Position



Average for CAPP for Each Question



The 3 *highest* scoring questions are marked in black.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree **Average**

Q4 Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted. **4.5**

Q9 My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues. **4.6**

Q13 I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months. **4.6**

The 3 *lowest* scoring questions are marked in white.


1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree **Average**

Q6 Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving. **2.4**

Q7 I drive within the speed limit and according to road conditions. **3.7**

Q11 Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis. **3.7**


Weighted Average for CAPP for Each Question




CAPP Overall Average of Each Question per Role

Association: CAPP	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question
Q1	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.4
Q2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3
Q3	4.1	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Q4	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.5
Q5	4.2	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.0
Q6	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.4
Q7	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.7
Q8	4.2	3.4	4.3	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1
Q9	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.6	5.0	4.8	4.5	4.6
Q10	4.2	4.1	4.3	3.5	4.7	3.8	4.3	4.1
Q11	3.7	3.6	2.8	3.8	4.4	3.7	4.0	3.7
Q12	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.7	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.0
Q13	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	5.0	4.8	4.5	4.6

Overall Average for OTHER




OTHER Responses per Role




Average for OTHER for Each Position

Average for OTHER for Each Position



Average for OTHER for Each Question

Average for OTHER for Each Question




The 5 *highest* scoring questions are marked in black.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.	4.6
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.	4.7
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.	4.5

The 5 *lowest* scoring questions are marked in white.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree		Average
Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.	3.6
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.	2.4
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.	3.5


Weighted Average for OTHER for Each Question




OTHER Overall Average of Each Question per Role

Association: OTHER	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question
Q1	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.9	4.4	4.4
Q2	4.3	4.5	4.0	3.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2
Q3	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.4	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.0
Q4	4.4	4.6	5.0	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.6
Q5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	4.1	3.8	3.2	3.6
Q6	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.4
Q7	4.5	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.2	4.0
Q8	4.1	3.9	3.8	2.9	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.0
Q9	4.6	4.4	5.0	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.6	4.7
Q10	4.2	4.5	3.8	3.7	4.6	4.8	3.9	4.2
Q11	3.6	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.8	3.5	4.2	3.5
Q12	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.3	4.0	3.6	4.3	3.7
Q13	4.2	3.9	5.0	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5


Overall Average for Petroleum Services Association of Canada (PSAC)




PSAC Responses per Role



Average for PSAC for Each Position



Average for PSAC for Each Question



The 3 *highest* scoring questions are marked in black.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree **Average**


Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.	4.6
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.	4.7
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.	4.6

The 3 *lowest* scoring questions are marked in white.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Not Sure or Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree **Average**

Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.	3.3
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.	2.4
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.	3.7

Weighted Average for PSAC for Each Question



PSAC Overall Average of Each Question per Role

Association: PSAC	FLW	FLS	Service Coordinator/ Dispatcher	Support Function	Manager	Executive	Other	Overall Average for Each Question
Q1	4.3	4.6	3.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3
Q2	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1
Q3	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
Q4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.5	4.6
Q5	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.0	3.3	3.3
Q6	2.8	2.8	2.0	2.8	2.2	1.7	2.5	2.4
Q7	4.1	3.9	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Q8	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.2
Q9	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.7	5.0	4.9	4.6	4.7
Q10	4.0	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.4
Q11	3.9	4.0	2.9	3.9	3.8	3.3	4.0	3.7
Q12	3.9	4.0	3.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9
Q13	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.6

Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

Listed below is a selection of comments received from employees who filled out the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Surveys:

Association – Canadian Association of Geophysical Contractors (CAGC)

Comments from the 3 *highest* scoring questions

Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o I have worked eight seasons with (Company) and I keep coming back because of their safety practice rather than another company.
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o President has open door policy on all issues – especially safety concerns.
Q10	Employees get a positive reception from the company when near miss incidents and/or hazards are reported.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Company gives good feedback on near miss reports.

Comments from the 3 *lowest* scoring questions

Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out work safely.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Some bosses like to take it out on you because some crews don't provide reasonable kilometre mark. They don't know how bad it is in the bush.
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o We are all guilty.
Q12	Employees in my company are properly trained in the principles and use of fall protection if necessary.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Most are, but not all.

(Cont) Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

Listed below is a selection of comments received from employees who filled out the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Surveys:

Association – Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors (CAODC)

Comments from the 3 *highest* scoring questions

Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The company promotes “taking the time to do the job safely!”
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o We have safety meetings every morning as well as, when operations change (everyone is involved and concerns from anyone are discussed).
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o All books are always correct and paperwork is done in timely fashion.

Comments from the 3 *lowest* scoring questions

Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o It’s always “what’s taking so long?” Let’s see, it’s 40 below.
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Most people talk on cell phones while driving. Some take notes.
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Green guys are not trained for this and they usually get hurt within their first month.

(Cont) Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

Listed below is a selection of comments received from employees who filled out the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Surveys:

Association – Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)

Comments from the 3 *highest* scoring questions

Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Safety is the primary concern at our facilities.
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Employees are encouraged to bring issues forward.
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o This strictly goes against my principles.

Comments from the 3 *lowest* scoring questions

Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o If we didn't, ongoing business and coordination would slow down.
Q7	I drive within the speed limit and according to road conditions. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o I speed if I am running late.
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis. <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Have rarely seen this

(Cont) Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

Listed below is a selection of comments received from employees who filled out the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Surveys:

Association – (OTHER)

Comments from the 3 *highest* scoring questions

Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o First Aid training for all, H₂S and other (Company) courses for field staff, risk assessment procedures, working alone procedures
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Our safety department always wants to hear our concerns.
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No alteration allowed

Comments from the 3 *lowest* scoring questions

Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Sometimes the client wants/expects us "to just get it done", not necessarily that safely.
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Talking on cellphone while driving happens frequently.
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No formal training is given or real life examples.

(Cont) Comments from the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Survey

Listed below is a selection of comments received from employees who filled out the 2006 Safety Stand Down Perception Surveys:

Association – Petroleum Services Association of Canada (PSAC)

Comments from the 3 *highest* scoring questions

Q4	Commitment to a safe operation is a core value for our company and is a big factor in how business is conducted.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o More and more of our drilling companies or customers are demanding that our employees practice safe work ethic.
Q9	My company honestly wants employees to bring forward their safety concerns/issues.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o As per our corporate safety philosophy “(Company) will ensure employees have the necessary support to proactively manage hazards.”
Q13	I have not altered required paperwork such as log books in the last 12 months.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If corrections need to be made to a log, employees are instructed to initial their corrections. Only the owner of the log can make corrections.

Comments from the 3 *lowest* scoring questions

Q5	Our customers always provide enough time to carry out our work safely.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Work is always very rushed because of deadlines.
Q6	Employees in my company never talk on their cell phones while driving.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o If we don't answer our phones we feel that we could be punished. We also feel that pulling over is not possible as we are always being rushed to the job and every minute counts.
Q11	Employees in my company are properly trained to safely lift heavy loads on a regular basis.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No heavy lifting training has been provided.

Detailed Perception Survey Comments

If you would like to view the detailed Perception Survey comments, they are available on the Safety Stand Down website at: www.safetystanddown.ca